



"Meaningful Use" - A State & National Goal

NoCal HIMSS January 28, 2010

Kim Ortiz, Chief Office of Medi-Cal Payment Systems
Department of Health Care Services

Acting Chief, Office of Health Information Technology



*"Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians"*



Medi-Cal EHR Incentive Program



-
- Introduction –About Medi-Cal
 - History-Where have we been?
 - Current Activities-Where are we going?
 - Forward-What can you do?

“Preserve and Improve the Health Status of all Californians”



About Medi-Cal



- Is the nation's largest Medicaid program in terms of the number of people it serves, **6.8 million**, and is the second largest in terms of dollars spent, **\$47 billion**.

Medi-Cal FACTS AND FIGURES, September 2009 (<http://www.chcf.org/publications/>)

“Preserve and Improve the Health Status of all Californians”



About Medi-Cal



-
- Is the source of health coverage for:
 - More than one in ten adults in the state under age 65
 - One in three of the state's children
 - The majority of people living with AIDS in California.

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



About Medi-Cal



-
- Pays for:
 - Forty-six percent of all births in the state;
 - Care supplied to two-thirds of all nursing home residents; and
 - Almost two-thirds of all net patient revenue in California's public hospitals

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



HIT History



Where have we been?

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Medicaid Transformation



-
- In 2006, Congress approved \$150 million for Medicaid “transformation grants” in the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 to be distributed over fiscal years 2007 and 2008
 - The Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) awarded grants to 35 states for transformation

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Medicaid Transformation Grant Process Outcome



-
- National Leadership – Creation of the National Medicaid Director’s Multi-State Collaboration
 - California Leadership – Collaboration of stakeholders across the state and funding opportunities

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Promoting the Adoption of E-Prescribing



-
- Completed system changes to deliver medication histories and drug formulary file to the point of care
 - Northern Sierra Rural Health Network
 - Safety Net Institute pilot
 - Statewide E-Prescribing Consortium

“Preserve and Improve the Health Status of all Californians”



February 2009 Passage of ARRA/HITECH



-
- Encourage the ***adoption and Meaningful use*** of certified electronic health record (EHR) technology by the States to:
 - Improve health care ***outcomes***
 - Improve ***care***
 - Ensure ***quality***
 - Permit greater ***access*** to care
 - Reduce ***costs***
 - EHR technology is ***not an end in itself*** but a means to achieve the goals.

***“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”***



“Meaningful Use”



-
- Three requirements for meaningful use
 - Using certified EHR in a meaningful manner (includes e-prescribing)
 - Connecting certified EHR to exchange health information to improve quality of care
 - Submit data on clinical quality measures to CMS or the State

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Statewide HIE Meaningful Use Priorities



-
- E-prescribing and medication reconciliation
 - Electronic lab ordering and results reporting
 - Continuity of care
 - Administrative transactions (claims and eligibility)
 - Public health reporting
 - Quality reporting

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



How can we ensure Meaningful Use?



MMIS System will include

- HIE Infrastructure

Existing Data Warehouse Capabilities

MITA Implementation Planning

Align State Medi-Cal HIT Plan with MITA

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Funding Flows – Entitlements



Entitlement Funds (Up to \$45 billion in gross outlays)

| Program | Distribution Agency* | Use of Funds |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Medicare Payment Incentives | CMS | Incentive Payments through Carriers |
| Medicaid Payment Incentives | CMS and states | Incentive Payments through State Agencies |



Acute Care and Children's Hospitals



Physicians and Dentists



Nurse Practitioners and Midwives



FQHC

“Meaningful Use”

Source: California HealthCare Foundation, 2009
 CMS is Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services,

“Preserve and Improve the Health Status of all Californians”



Medicaid Eligible Hospitals



| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Acute care hospital | > 10% Medicaid share, Ave LOS \leq 25 days, Last 4-digits of Medicare CCN = 0001-0879 |
| Children's hospital | All |

- Hospitals eligible for incentive under Medicare and Medicaid may receive both payments.

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Eligible Provider Types



-
- Physicians
 - Dentists
 - Certified Nurse Mid-wives
 - Nurse Practitioners
 - Physician Assistants (PA) practicing in a PA-led FQHC or Rural Health Clinic

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Medicaid Eligible Professionals



Eligible Professionals must choose between the Medicare and Medicaid programs

- Must be non-hospital based with at least 30% medical assistance patient volume, or
- Practice in an FQHC or RHC and have 30 percent of patient volume attributable to “needy” individuals

***“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”***



Potential Medicaid Provider Incentives



| | | |
|---------------|-----------------|--|
| Year 1: | \$21,250 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Year 1 payment for adoption of certified EHR—demonstration of actual installation, not efforts to install. May recapture 85% of costs. Year 2-6 payments contingent on provider demonstrating “meaningful use” of the EHR Year 1 payment does not need to be in 2011, but cannot be later than 2016. One time switch to Medicare incentive program if before CY2015. No penalties for failure to adopt certified EHRs. |
| Year 2: | \$8,500 | |
| Year 3: | \$8,500 | |
| Year 4: | \$8,500 | |
| Year 5: | \$8,500 | |
| Year 6: | \$8,500 | |
| Total: | \$63,750 | |

“Preserve and Improve the Health Status of all Californians”



Year 1 Adoption



-
- NPRM States - Must demonstrate actual installation prior to incentive, rather than “efforts” to install
 - Staffing, maintenance and training are included in allowable costs

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Differences Between Medicaid and Medicare Incentive Payments



- Medicaid participation is voluntary
- Provider types significantly broader
- No Medicaid financial penalties to Medicaid providers for not adopting

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Differences Between Medicaid and Medicare Incentive Payments



- Incentive payments are potentially higher than for Medicare
 - Time period for which incentives are available extend to 2021 (compared to 2015 for Medicare)
 - No “meaningful use” required in Year One – Adopt, Implement or Upgrade
-

“Preserve and Improve the Health Status of all Californians”



Administrative Funds for Medi-Cal EHR Incentive Program



-
- 90% Federal Match for Three Purposes
 - Administer the incentive payments
 - Conduct oversight
 - Pursue initiatives to encourage adoption of EHR technology

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Medi-Cal EHR Incentive Program Planning



What are we doing to prepare?

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



California Healthcare Foundation



-
- Foster Relationships with HIT Leaders
 - Leverage Resources (staff & funding)
 - Maximize HITECH Funding Opportunity
 - Ensures sustainable success of the Medi-Cal EHR Incentive Program

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Medi-Cal EHR Incentive Program Vision Statement



The health and well-being of all Californians will be dramatically improved by the widespread adoption and use of Electronic Health Records

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Medi-Cal EHR Incentive Program Planning



-
- September – CMS provided Direction to States for HIT P-APD funding requests
 - November - California received approval of \$2.8m
 - To create the Office of Health Information Technology
 - To create a Landscape Assessment, Campaign Plan, Strategic, HIT Implementation Plan

“Preserve and Improve the Health Status of all Californians”



Landscape Assessment



-
- Provider Landscape Assessment
 - Current Rate of Adoption
 - Attitudes towards EHR

 - Vendor Landscape Assessment
 - Product features and flexibility

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Strategic Plan



-
- Set policies and procedures for the program
 - Five-Year Plan (2011-2016) identifying initiatives and system changes necessary for “meaningful use” and adoption of EHR
 - Identify performance goals and metrics for the Medi-Cal EHR Incentive Program

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Campaign Plan



-
- Plan to educate, increase awareness, encourage adoption and ensure that investments in EHRs meet meaningful use criteria

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Implementation Plan



-
- Systems to:
 - verify providers meet criteria
 - make accurate and timely incentive payments
 - measure and track performance
 - techniques to report progress to the public

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Medi-Cal EHR Incentive Program Planning



-
- Planning Efforts to date
 - Creation of the Advisory Board
 - Provider Landscape Assessment
 - Vendor Landscape Assessment
 - Return On Investment

“Preserve and Improve the Health Status of all Californians”



Medi-Cal EHR Incentive Advisory Board



Representatives

Bill Barcellona
Erica Murray
Chris Perone
Pam Lane
David Ford
Andie Martinez
Robert Moore, MD
Mark Savage
Paul Chung Fu, MD
Brad Gilbert, MD
John Mattison, MD
Sajid Ahmed
Laura Landry
Patricia Ostrander, MD
Ron Jimenez, MD

Organizations

California Association of Physician Groups
California Association of Public Hospitals
California Health Care Foundation
California Hospital Association
California Medical Association
California Primary Care Association
Community Health Clinic Ole & Redwood Community Health
Consumers Union
Harbor-UCLA Medical Center
Inland Empire Health Plan
KP HealthConnect
LA Care
Long Beach Network for Health
Mercy Medical Group
Santa Clara Valley Health and Hospital System

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Medi-Cal EHR Incentive Program Planning



Landscape Assessment Initial Findings

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Funding-Best Case Scenario



If all eligible inpatient facilities and outpatient providers apply for and receive incentive funding, California can expect to receive \$1.4 billion in Medicaid incentive funds across the State for adoption of EHRs

“Preserve and Improve the Health Status of all Californians”



Impact to California - Existing Proposed Eligibility Criteria



- **Eligibility criteria as outlined by CMS exclude key groups within both the inpatient and outpatient community**

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Existing Proposed Eligibility Criteria



Example:

- Hospital-based outpatient clinics, representing ~18% of CA physicians, are not eligible for incentive funding

“Preserve and Improve the Health Status of all Californians”



Examples (Continued)



Only 32% of critical access hospitals are eligible for incentive funding given a tendency to have long-term care units and predominance of CCN provider numbers outside of those deemed eligible by CMS

“Preserve and Improve the Health Status of all Californians”



Examples (continued)



19% of DSH hospitals are ineligible
and
38% of rural/frontier hospitals are also
ineligible

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Summary



Hospitals with both acute-care and long-term care beds are effectively penalized as a result of their total average LOS exceeding CMS-mandated eligibility requirements (< 25 days)

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Public Comments Needed On Who Can Play



| Definition | Issue |
|--|--|
| <p>“Hospital-based” physician: 90% of services with POS codes 21,22,23.</p> | <p>Excludes large number of primary care providers who practice in hospital-based outpatient clinics and faculty of academic medical centers</p> |
| <p>“Acute care” hospital: Average LOS \leq 25 days and Medicare CCN with last four digits in the series 0001-0879</p> | <p>Excludes hospitals classified as LTC hospitals under Medicare to be eligible under Medicaid.</p> |
| <p>“Children’s hospital”: two definitions</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Separately certified (CCN with last four digits 3300 -3339 <u>or</u> 2. Free-standing hospitals that furnish care exclusively to individuals under age 21. | <p>Children’s wings of a general hospital would not qualify for Medicaid incentive programs under either definition.</p> |

“Preserve and Improve the Health Status of all Californians”



What can you do?

Public Comment on NPRM



-
- Meaningful use regulations can be accessed at:
<http://www.federalregister.gov/OFRUpload/OF RData/2009-31217 PI.pdf>.
 - Regulations for certification of EHRs can be accessed at:
<http://healthit.hhs.gov/standardsandcertification>

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



What can you do? Public Comment on NPRM



-
- Comments due by March 15, 2010
 - Send comments to:

<http://www.regulations.gov>

- Send us comments at:

Medi-Cal_Incentive@dhcs.ca.gov

Visit us at: <http://www.dhcs.ca.gov>

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*



Questions?

*“Preserve and Improve the Health
Status of all Californians”*